

IN THE DRAWINGS

A replacement sheet of the drawings, FIG. 6 and FIG. 7,
is submitted herewith.

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as amended is respectfully requested.

A replacement sheet of drawing is submitted herewith in which Fig. 7 has been amended to correct the hatching of parts 57 and 58. Applicants are unaware of any other parts that require correction.

Claims 1, 2 and 12 have been amended to overcome the claim objections, and for clarity. The term "the base" appears in original Claim 12.

The claims have been amended, where appropriate, to correct any "poor grammar and terminology". The specification has been carefully reviewed, but no poor grammar and terminology are apparent.

Claims 1 and 23 have been amended to clarify the manner in which these claims distinguish patentably from Leman et al and from Larson et al. Incidentally, it is believed that reference "B" on PTO-892 was intended to identify Larson et al.

Claim 1 now recites, inter alia, a pedestal separate from the holder, cooperable coupling elements being provided on the pedestal and on the fastening portion of the holder, respectively, to attach the holder to the pedestal. More particularly, claim 1 now recites that the pedestal has a

bottom surface with spaced side walls and a rear wall all upstanding from the bottom surface to provide a recess between the bottom surface and the walls that is open at the top and at the front of the recess, and wherein the fastening portion of the holder is constructed for insertion into the recess through the open top to position the cooperable coupling elements for coupling the fastening portion of the holder to the pedestal, with the holding portion outside the recess and extending to the fastening portion via the open front of the recess. It is apparent that nothing of this sort is taught or suggested by Leman et al or Larson et al. Claim 1 and the claims dependent thereon are clearly allowable.

Claim 3, which was merely objected to, has been rewritten in independent form to include the subject matter of claims 1 and 2 as edited, but without including the insertion before the period of original claim 1. The language of Claim 2 has been modified to recite that the recess has the grooves on at least two opposed side walls of the recess, forming at least some of the coupling elements on the pedestal, and that at least some of the coupling elements on the fastening portion of the holder comprise projections cooperating with the grooves of the pedestal, and further, that the grooves are parallel to each other.

Claims 4 and 7, which were merely objected to, have been similarly rewritten in independent form.

Claim 10, which was merely objected to, has been rewritten in independent form to include the subject matter of claim 1 as edited, but without the insertion before the period of original claim 1.

Claim 10 and the claims dependent thereon, including withdrawn claims 15 and 17-22, are now clearly allowable.

Claim 23 now recites, inter alia, a pedestal having a bottom surface with spaced side walls and a rear wall all upstanding from the bottom surface to provide a recess between the bottom surface and the walls that is open at the top and at the front of the recess, and wherein the fastening portion of the holder is constructed for insertion into the recess through the open top to position the cooperable coupling elements for coupling the fastening portion of the holder to the pedestal, with the holding portion outside the recess and extending to the fastening portion via the open front of the recess. It is apparent that claim 23 distinguishes patentably from Leman et al and Larson et al and is now allowable, along with the claims dependent thereon.

Claim 28, which was merely objected to, has been rewritten in independent form to include the subject matter

of claim 1 as edited, but without the insertion before the period of original claim 1. Claims 28 and 29 are thus allowable.

Claim 32, which was merely objected to, has been rewritten in independent form to include the subject matter of claims 23, 30, and 31 as edited, but without the insertion before the period of original claim 23. Claims 32 and the claims dependent thereon, including withdrawn claim 34, are thus allowable.

This application is now believed to be clearly in condition for allowance.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to Deposit Account No. 50-1165 any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 that may be required by this paper and to credit any overpayment to that Account. If any extension of time is required in connection with the filing of this paper and has not been requested separately, such extension is hereby requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Nelson H. Shapiro
Nelson H. Shapiro
Reg. No. 17,095

NHS:dmt

Miles & Stockbridge P.C.
1751 Pinnacle Drive
Suite 500
McLean, VA 22102-3833
(703) 903-9000

September 26, 2005